



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/607,047	06/27/2003	Domenico Fanara	2003_0894	4143
513 75	590 01/11/2006		EXAMINER	
	H, LIND & PONACK, I	TRAN, SUSAN T		
2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			1615	
		DATE MAILED: 01/11/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/607,047	FANARA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Susan T. Tran	1615			
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE!	I.  lety filed  the mailing date of this communication.  O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	s action is non-final. Ince except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 11-25 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrates.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 11-25 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the	cepted or b) objected to by the Education drawing (s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 06/27/03.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) ate. <u>01/03/06</u> . atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1615

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 11-21, 24 and 25 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,699,502 ('502). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the '502 patent claims controlled release pharmaceutical composition for oral administered of at least one active substance comprising: a) the said at least one active substance, b) between 5 and 60% by weight, relative to the total weight of the composition, of at least one excipient, selected from the group consisting of inert matrices; hydrophilic matrices, lipid matrices, mixtures of inert matrices and of lipid matrices, and mixtures of hydrophilic matrices and of inert

Art Unit: 1615

matrices, with the exception of mixtures comprising a polyacrylic acid and at least one hydrophilic matrix of the cellulose type; and c) between 5 and 50% by weight, relative to the total weight of the composition, of at least one alkalinizing agent soluble in an aqueous phase under physiological pH conditions, selected from the group consisting of alkali or alkaline-earth metal hydroxides, carbonates, bicarbonates, phosphates, sodium borate and basic salts of organic acids. Active substance is found in claim 2.

Hydroxypropyl methylcellulose is found in claim 3. One or more additional excipients is found in claim 4. Dosage form comprising first and second layers is found in claim 7.

Process for the preparation of the composition is found in claim 9. Accordingly, the instant claims anticipated by the claims of the '502 patent.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. It appears that applicant's specification does not provide support for the limitation "alkali metal carbonate" in claim 22.

#### Pertinent Arts

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Qiu et al., and Johnson et al. are cited as of interest for the teachings of composition comprising inert matrix excipient.

## Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan T. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-0606. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 6:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K. Page can be reached on (571) 272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Application/Control Number: 10/607,047 Page 5

**Art Unit: 1615** 

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the

Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

S. Tran

Examiner

Art Unit 1615